arXiv:0709.2947v1 [math.NT] 19 Sep 2007

Sums of Products of Bernoulli numbers of the second kind

Ming Wu
Department of Mathematics, JinLing Institute of Technology,
Nanjing 210001, People's Republic of China
Email: mingwu1996@yahoo.com.cn

Hao Pan
Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiaotong University,
Shanghai 200240, People's Republic of China
Email: haopan79@yahoo.com.cn

Abstract

The Bernoulli numbers $b_0, b_1, b_2, \cdots$ of the second kind are defined by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n t^n = \frac{t}{\log(1+t)}.$$

In this paper, we give an explicit formula for the sum

$$\sum_{\substack{j_1+j_2+\cdots+j_N=n\\ j_1,j_2,\ldots,j_N\geqslant 0}} b_{j_1} b_{j_2} \cdots b_{j_N}.$$

We also establish a q-analogue for

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} b_k b_{n-k} = -(n-1)b_n - (n-2)b_{n-1}.$$

The Bernoulli numbers $B_0, B_1, B_2, \cdots$ are defined by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{n!} t^n = \frac{t}{e^t - 1}.$$

Euler (cf. [5]) noted that if $n > 1$ then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{2n}{2j} B_{2j} B_{2n-2j} = -(2n+1)B_{2n}. \tag{1}$$

A q-analogue of (1) has been given by Satoh in [4].

As a generalization of (1), in [2] Dilcher proved that for $n > N/2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{j_1+j_2+\cdots+j_N=n \\ j_1,j_2,\ldots,j_N \geqslant 0}} \binom{2n}{2j_1, 2j_2, \ldots, 2j_N} B_{2j_1} B_{2j_2} \cdots B_{2j_N} \\ =&\frac{(2n)!}{(2n-N)!} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor (N-1)/2 \rfloor} c_k^{(N)} \frac{B_{2n-2k}}{2n-2k}, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where the array $\{c_k^{(N)}\}$ is given by $c_0^{(1)} = 1$ and the recursion

$$c_k^{(N+1)} = -\frac{1}{N} c_k^{(N)} + \frac{1}{4} c_{k-1}^{(N-1)}$$

with $c_k^{(N)} = 0$ for $k < 0$ and $k > \lfloor (N-1)/2 \rfloor$.

On the other hand, the Bernoulli numbers $b_0, b_1, b_2, \cdots$ of the second kind are given by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n t^n = \frac{t}{\log(1+t)}.$$

And we set $b_k = 0$ whenever $k < 0$. It is easy to check that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^k b_{n-k}}{k+1} = \delta_{n,0}, \tag{3}$$

where $\delta_{n,0} = 1$ or 0 according to whether $n = 0$ or not.

In [3], Howard used the Bernoulli numbers of the second kind to give an explicit formula for degenerate Bernoulli numbers. And some 2-adic congruences of b_n have been investigated by Adelberg in [1].

In this short note, we shall give an analogue of (2) for the Bernoulli numbers of the second kind. Define an array $\{a_k^{(N)}(x)\}$ of polynomials by

$$a_0^{(1)}(x) = 1, \qquad a_k^{(N)}(x) = 0 \text{ for } k < 0 \text{ and } k \geqslant N,$$

and

$$a_k^{(N)}(x) = -\frac{1}{N-1}((x-N+1)a_k^{(N-1)}(x) + (x-N)a_{k-1}^{(N-1)}(x-1))$$

if $N > k \geqslant 0$ and $N \geqslant 2$.

Theorem 1. *For positive integers n and N, we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{j_1+j_2+\cdots+j_N=n \\ j_1,j_2,\ldots,j_N \geqslant 0}} b_{j_1}b_{j_2}\cdots b_{j_N} = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_k^{(N)}(n) b_{n-k}. \tag{4}$$

Proof. Let $s_N(n)$ denote the left-hand side of (4):

$$s_N(n) = \sum_{\substack{j_1+j_2+\cdots+j_N=n \\ j_1,j_2,\ldots,j_N \geqslant 0}} b_{j_1}b_{j_2}\cdots b_{j_N}.$$

Below we use induction on N to show (4).

Clearly $s_1(n) = b_n$, whence (4) holds for $N = 1$.

Now let $N > 1$ and suppose that (**??**) holds for smaller values of N. Note that

$$\frac{t^N}{\log^N(1+t)} = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j t^j\right)^N = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_N(n) t^n.$$

For convenience we use $[t^n]f(t)$ to denote the coefficient of t^n in the power series expansion of $f(t)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} s_{N+1}(n) =& [t^n]\frac{t^{N+1}}{\log^{N+1}(1+t)} = [t^{n-N-1}]\frac{1}{\log^{N+1}(1+t)} \\ =& -[t^{n-N-1}]\left(\frac{(1+t)}{N}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{1}{\log^N(1+t)}\right)\right) \\ =& -[t^{n-N-1}]\frac{1}{N}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{1}{\log^N(1+t)}\right) - [t^{n-N-2}]\frac{1}{N}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{1}{\log^N(1+t)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{1}{\log^N(1+t)}\right) = \frac{d}{dt}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_N(n) t^{n-N}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n-N)s_N(n)t^{n-N-1}.$$

Thus by the induction hypothesis on N,

$$\begin{aligned} s_{N+1}(n) &= -\frac{1}{N}\Big((n-N)s_N(n) + (n-N-1)s_N(n-1)\Big) \\ &= -\frac{n-N}{N}\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_k^{(N)}(n)b_{n-k} - \frac{n-N-1}{N}\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_k^{(N)}(n-1)b_{n-1-k} \\ &= -\frac{n-N}{N}\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_k^{(N)}(n)b_{n-k} - \frac{n-N-1}{N}\sum_{k=1}^{N} a_{k-1}^{(N)}(n-1)b_{n-k} \\ &= -\frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=0}^{N}\big((n-N)a_k^{(N)}(n) + (n-N-1)a_{k-1}^{(N)}(n-1)\big)b_{n-k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{N} a_k^{(N+1)}(n)b_{n-k}. \end{aligned}$$

We are done. □

For example, substituting $N = 2, 3$ in (4), we obtain that

$$s_2(n) = -(n-1)b_n - (n-2)b_{n-1}, \tag{5}$$

and

$$s_3(n) = \frac{1}{2}(n-1)(n-2)b_n + \frac{1}{2}(n-2)(2n-5)b_{n-1} + \frac{1}{2}(n-3)^2 b_{n-2}. \tag{6}$$

For arbitrary integer n, let

$$[n]_q = \frac{1-q^n}{1-q}.$$

where q is an indeterminant.

We call $[n]_q$ a q-analogue of n since $\lim_{q\to 1}[n]_q = n$. Note that $[1]_q = 1$ and $[n-a]_q = [n]_q - q^{n-a}[a]_q$.

Define the q-logarithm function by

$$\log_q(1+t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}t^n}{[n]_q}$$

which is convergent for $|t| < 1$.

We also define a q-analogue of the Bernoulli numbers of the second kind by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n(q)t^n = \frac{t}{\log_q(1+t)}.$$

A q-analogue of (3) is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^k b_{n-k}(q)}{[k+1]_q} = \delta_{n,0}. \tag{7}$$

Now we give our q-analogue of (5).

Theorem 2. *For any integer $n \geqslant 0$, we have*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} q^{k-1} b_k(q) b_{n-k}(q) = -[n-1]_q b_n(q) - [n-2]_q b_{n-1}(q), \tag{8}$$

where we set $b_k(q) = 0$ for $k < 0$.

Proof. We use induction on n.

When $n = 0$, since $[-1]_q = -q^{-1}$ and $b_0(q) = 1$ by (7), both sides of (8) coincide with q^{-1}.

Now assume that $n > 0$ and (8) holds for smaller values of n. In view of (7), we have

$$b_{n-k}(q) = -\sum_{j=1}^{n-k} \frac{(-1)^j b_{n-k-j}(q)}{[j+1]_q}$$

when $k < n$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=0}^{n} q^{k-1} b_k(q) b_{n-k}(q)\\
=&q^{n-1} b_n(q) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q^{k-1} b_k(q) \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} \frac{(-1)^j b_{n-k-j}(q)}{[j+1]_q}\\
=&q^{n-1} b_n(q) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^j}{[j+1]_q} \sum_{k=0}^{n-j} q^{k-1} b_k(q) b_{n-k-j}(q)\\
=&q^{n-1} b_n(q) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^j}{[j+1]_q} ([n-j-1]_q b_{n-j}(q) + [n-j-2]_q b_{n-j-1}(q))
\end{aligned}$$

where we apply the induction hypothesis in the last step.Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{(-1)^j}{[j+1]_q}\sum_{k=0}^{n-j}q^{k-1}b_k(q)b_{n-k-j}(q)\\
=&\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{(-1)^j}{[j+1]_q}([n-j-1]_q b_{n-j}(q)+[n-j-2]_q b_{n-j-1}(q))\\
=&\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{(-1)^j}{[j+1]_q}(([n]_q-q^{n-j-1}[j+1]_q)b_{n-j}(q)+([n-1]_q-q^{n-j-2}[j+1]_q)b_{n-j-1}(q))\\
=&[n]_q\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{(-1)^j b_{n-j}(q)}{[j+1]_q}+[n-1]_q\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{(-1)^j b_{n-j-1}(q)}{[j+1]_q}-\sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^j q^{n-j-1}b_{n-j}(q)\\
&-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(-1)^j q^{n-j-2}b_{n-j-1}(q)\\
=&-[n]_q b_n(q)-[n-1]_q b_{n-1}(q)-\sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^j q^{n-j-1}b_{n-j}(q)+\sum_{j=2}^{n}(-1)^j q^{n-j-1}b_{n-j}(q).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{n}q^{k-1}b_k(q)b_{n-k}(q)=&q^{n-1}b_n(q)-[n]_q b_n(q)-[n-1]_q b_{n-1}(q)+q^{n-2}b_{n-1}(q)\\
=&-[n-1]_q b_n(q)-[n-2]_q b_{n-1}(q).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to the anonymous referee for his/her valuable comments on this paper. We also thank our advisor, Professor Zhi-Wei Sun, for his helpful suggestions on this paper.

References

[1] A. Adelberg, *2-adic congruences of Nölund numbers and of Bernoulli numbers of the second kind*, J. Number Theory, **73**(1998), 47-58.

[2] K. Dilcher, *Sums of products of Bernoulli numbers*, J. Number Theory, **60**(1996), 23-41.

[3] F. T. Howard, *Explicit formulas for degenerate Bernoulli numbers*, Discrete Math., **162**(1996), 175-185.

[4] J. Satoh, *Sums of products of two q-Bernoulli numbers*, J. Number Theory, **74**(1999), 173-180.

[5] R. Sitaramachandrarao and B. Davis, *Some identities involving the Riemann zeta-function, II*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **17**(1986), 1175-1186.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11B68; Secondary 05A30, 11B65.